

## UNITED STATISTICS EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Tracemark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington D.C. 20231

08/669,056		To oTHIES OF	Washington, D.C. 20231	J	
APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED A	PPLICANT	ATTY, DOCKET NO.	
08/669,056	06/24/ <b>9</b> 6	NACHMAN	В	INFINITY-3.0	
	•			EXAMINER	
		LM31/0119			
MARVIN NACHM	1AN		L <del>GC,C</del>		
315 SAYBROOK	CRD		<u> </u>	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
VILLANOVA PA	19085			21	
			2722	-/	
			DATE	DATE MAILED: 01/19/00	

. This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
This action is FINAL.				
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecu accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire	n the period for response will cause			
Disposition of Claims				
D Claim(s) 27-55	is/are pending in the application.			
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
Claim(s) 27-55	is/are rejected.			
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.			
Claim(s)are	subject to restriction or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.				
The drawing(s) filed onis/are objecto	ed to by the Examiner.			
The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved disapproved.			
The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).				
All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents h	nave been			
received.				
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)				
received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
Certified copies not received:				
\$2318\$\text{\$\frac{1}{2}}\$				
<b>一分がかwiedgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e)</b> .				
Attachment(s)				
The Contraction of the Contracti				
Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892				
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).				
interview Summary, PTO-413				
Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-848				
Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152				

-SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES-

# U.S. GPO: 1996-421-632/40206

Art Unit: 2722

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed Oct. 28, 1999 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 2. Claim 40 is objected to because when "between" is used, "facsimile machine and said computer" should be used, not "facsimile machine or said computer"...
- 3. Claims 27-35, 40, and 43-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as failing to set forth the subject matter which applicant(s) regard as their invention.

In claim 27, line 1, "The use" lacks antecedent basis.

Claims 32-34 and 41 are rejected as being dependent upon the rejected claim 27.

In claim 28. line 1, "The use" lacks antecedent basis. Further, is the "fax modem" same as any of the "facsimile modems"?

In claim 29, line 1, "The transfer" lacks antecedent basis.

In claim 30, line 1, "The transfer" lacks antecedent basis.

In claim 31, line 1, "The transfer" lacks antecedent basis.

In claims 32-34, are "a facsimile machine" and "a computer" same as the "facsimile machine" and "computer of claim 27 ? If yes, -- the -- or -- said -- should be used instead of "a".

In claim 35, line 1, "The transfer" lacks antecedent basis.

Art Unit: 2722

In claim 40, is "a facsimile machine" the same as the "facsimile machine" of claim 36 ? If yes, -- the -- or -- said -- should be used instead of "a".

In claim 43, in part (a), line 3, ""the active public telephone network" lacks antecedent basis.

Claims 44-48 are rejected as being dependent upon the rejected claim 43.

In claim 49, line 1, "The use" lacks antecedent basis. Line 2, "the public network telephone line" and "the transfer" lack antecedent bases.

In claim 50, line 1, "The use" lacks antecedent basis. Line 2, "the telephone line" and "the transfer" lack antecedent bases.

In claim 51, in part (a), line 3, "the public telephone line" lacks antecedent basis.

In claims 52 and 53, line 2, "can operate" is indefinite since "can operate" means that the facsimile machine can operate but it does not mean that the facsimile machine actually operates as a scanning device. Lines 2-3, "the telephone line" lacks antecedent basis.

In claim 53, part (c), line 3, use of "and/or" is indefinite because it is unclear whether "and" or "or" is the intended claim limitation.

In claim 54, the claim is a method claim. However, "means for coupling" is claimed.

In claim 55, line 1, "The transfer" lacks antecedent basis. Lines 4-5, is "a computer" the same as the "computer" of line 2? If yes, -- the -- or -- said -- should be used instead of "a".

In claim 43, in part (a), line 3, "the active public telephone network" lacks antecedent basis.

Art Unit: 2722

Claims 45 and 46 are rejected as being dependent upon claim 43.

4. Claims 27-31, 35-38, 40-44, and 47-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Perkins (5,452,106).

Regarding claim 27, Perkins discloses using a facsimile machine (transceiver 1) as a scanner or a printer for a computer (2). Perkins clearly states at col. 9, lines 29-33 that the facsimile device may be provided on a card for location in the computer. the card would have connector for the facsimile transceiver to connect the computer directly to the facsimile transceiver. Because the fax card is internal to the computer and the connection between the facsimile transceiver and the computer is a direct connection, the signal or data transfer between the facsimile transceiver and the computer is interpreted to be non-interrupted or uninterrupted, or without manipulation or modification of the scanned document image signals from the facsimile transceiver or the data from the computer to be printed. The direction connection of the facsimile transceiver (1) and the computer (2) is isolated from the telephone line.

Regarding claim 28, see discussion for claim 27. The claim recites that the transmission state includes one of a), b), and c) claimed. In part b), the internal initiation being the "computer mode" is met by Perkins' PC-fax mode (col. 7, line 5).

Regarding claim 29, see discussion for claims 27 and 28.

Regarding claim 30, see discussion for claim 27. The connector (col. 9, line 31) is inherently a RS 232 or a RJ 11.

Art Unit: 2722

Regarding claim 31, see discussion for claim 27. The signals being transferred are representative of scanned images from the facsimile transceiver (1) which is a standard facsimile machine. The computer is an office product.

Regarding claim 35, as discussed for claim 27 above, the document from the computer (2) is printed at the facsimile machine (1).

Regarding claim 36, see discussion for claim 27. The facsimile transceiver (1) communicates with another facsimile machine using a public telephone line. The computer (2) communicates using a telephone line (at 15). Both the facsimile machine (1) and the computer (2) are inherently placed in a simulated off-hook condition.

Regarding claims 37, 38 and 40, the connector (col. 9, line 31) is inherently a RS 232 or RJ 11 port.

Regarding claims 41 and 42, it is inherent that the scanned data is optically recognized and converted into character codes at the computer (2).

Regarding claims 43 and 51-53, see Perkins discussed above.

Regarding claim 44, Perkins teaches using a serial data port (5).

Regarding claim 47, the serial data being transferred is digital serial data.

Regarding claim 48, it is inherent that the scanned data is optically recognized and converted into character codes at the computer (2).

Regarding claim 49 and 50, see Perkins discussed above.

Regarding claims 54 and 55, see discussion for claim 27.

Art Unit: 2722

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cheukfan Lee at telephone number (703) 305-4867.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist at telephone number (703) 305-3900.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, DC 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 306-5406 (for formal communications intended for entry)

(703) 308-5397 (for informal or draft communications, such as proposed amendments to be discussed at an interview; please label such communications "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

or hand-carried to:

Crystal Park Two 2121 Crystal Drive Arlington, VA Sixth Floor (Receptionist)

C. L. Jan. 12, 2000

Cheukfan Lee